IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

CELL Film Holdings, LLC,)	
8383 Wilshire Blvd, # 310)	
Beverly Hills, CA, 90211)	
)	
Plaintiff,) (Case No.:
)	
V.)	
)	Judge
DOES 1- 13,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff CELL Film Holdings, LLC, by and through its undersigned counsel, for and as its Complaint against Defendants, alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the United States (17 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.*). As set forth in greater detail below, this action involves the unauthorized acquisition, copying and transfer by Defendants of Plaintiff's mainstream copyrighted motion picture *Cell* (hereinafter, "the Motion Picture" or "*Cell*").

2. *Cell* is an action thriller directed by Tod Williams, and stars John Cusack, Samuel L Jackson and Isabelle Fuhman, among others. The Motion Picture has significant value and has been created and produced at considerable expense.

3. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.;* 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) (copyright).

4. The acquisition, copying and transfer of the Motion Picture is accomplished using

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 2 of 7. PageID #: 2

a network called a "BitTorrent protocol" or "torrent," which is different than the standard Peerto-Peer ("P2P") protocol. The BitTorrent protocol makes even small computers with low bandwidth capable of participating in large data transfers across a P2P network. The initial fileprovider intentionally elects to share a file with a torrent network. This initial file is called a seed. Other users ("peers") and the network, through a series of steps, connect to the seed file to download a movie. As additional peers request the same file, each additional user becomes a part of the network from which the file can be downloaded. Each new file downloader receives a different piece of the data from each user who has already downloaded the file that together comprises the whole. This piecemeal system with multiple pieces of data coming from peer members is usually referred to as a "swarm." The effect of this technology makes every downloader also an uploader of the illegally transferred file(s). This means that every "node" or peer user who has a copy of the infringing copyrighted material on a torrent network intentionally also becomes a source of download for that infringing file.

5. This distributed and cooperative nature of BitTorrent leads to a rapid viral spreading of a file throughout peer users. As more peers join the swarm, the speed of transfer and likelihood of a successful download increases. Because of the nature of a BitTorrent protocol, any seed peer who has downloaded a file prior to the time a subsequent peer downloads the same file is automatically a source for the subsequent peer so long as that first seed peer is online at the time the subsequent peer downloads a file. Essentially, because of the nature of the swarm downloads as described above, every infringer is stealing copyrighted material from many Internet Service Providers ("ISPs") in numerous jurisdictions.

6. On information and belief, personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because each Defendant, without consent or permission of Plaintiff as exclusive rights owner, within Ohio

2

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 3 of 7. PageID #: 3

and within this District, reproduced, distributed and offered to distribute among other Defendants over the Internet the copyrighted Motion Picture for which Plaintiff has exclusive rights. Plaintiff has used geolocation technology to trace the Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses of each Defendant to a point of origin within this District. On information and belief, each Defendant has an IP address based in this District and resides in or committed copyright infringement in this District.

7. In the alternative, this Court has personal jurisdiction over non-resident Defendants, if any, under the Ohio long-arm statute, because they downloaded copyrighted content from or uploaded it to Ohio residents located in this District, thus committing a tortious act within the meaning of the statute.

VENUE IN THIS DISTRICT IS PROPER UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1391(B) AND/OR 28 U.S.C. §1400(A).

8. Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief, Defendants reside in this District, may be found in this District and/or a substantial part of the acts of infringement complained of herein occurred in this District. In the alternative, on information and belief, a Defendant resides in this District and all of the Defendants reside in this State.

THE PARTIES

9. Plaintiff is a motion picture developer and producer. Plaintiff brings this action to stop Defendants from copying and distributing unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture to others over the Internet. Defendants' infringements allow them and others unlawfully to obtain and distribute for free unauthorized copyrighted works that Plaintiff spends considerable sums to create, acquire and/or distribute. Each time a Defendant unlawfully distributes a free copy of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture to others over the Internet, each person who copies the Motion Picture then distributes the unlawful copy to others without any significant

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 4 of 7. PageID #: 4

degradation in sound and picture quality. Thus, a Defendant's distribution of even one unlawful copy of a motion picture can result in the nearly instantaneous worldwide distribution of that single copy to a limitless number of people. Plaintiff now seeks redress for this rampant infringement of its exclusive rights.

10. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyright and/or the pertinent exclusive rights under copyright in the United States in the Motion Picture that has been unlawfully distributed over the Internet by Defendants.

11. The true names of Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by the Internet Protocol ("IP") address assigned to that Defendant by his or her Internet Service Provider and the date and the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed. Plaintiff believes that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendant's true name and will permit Plaintiff to amend this Complaint to state the same. Plaintiff further believes that additional information obtained will lead to the identification of additional infringing parties, as monitoring of online infringement of Plaintiff's motion picture is ongoing.

COUNT I COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

12. The Motion Picture is the subject of a pending copyright application filed with the Register of Copyrights on March 12, 2016 (Exhibit A), and this action is brought in conformance with 17 U.S.C. § 411; *Chicago Board of Education v. Substance, Inc.*, 354 F.3d 624 (7th Cir. 2003); and *Goss Int'l Americas, Inc. v. A-American Machine & Assembly Co.*, 07-C-3248, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 88382, at *6 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 30, 2007) (J. Gettleman).

13. The copyrighted Motion Picture includes a copyright notice advising the viewer that the Motion Picture is protected by the Copyright Laws.

14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendant, without the permission or

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 5 of 7. PageID #: 5

consent of Plaintiff, has used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system to reproduce and distribute to the public, including by making available for distribution to others, the copyrighted Motion Picture. Plaintiff has identified each Defendant by the IP address assigned to that Defendant by his or her ISP and the date and the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed (Exhibit B). Each Defendant has violated Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Each Defendant's actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. §101 *et seq.*).

15. On information and belief, each Defendant deliberately participated in a swarm and/or reproduced and/or distributed the same seed file of Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture in digital form with other Defendants. In particular, on information and belief, Defendants participated in a collective and interdependent manner with other Defendants via the Internet for the unlawful purpose of reproducing, exchanging and distributing copyrighted material unique to the swarm.

16. By participating in the same swarm, each Defendant participated in the same transaction, occurrence or series of transactions or occurrences as the other Defendants in the swarm. The foregoing acts of infringement constitute a collective enterprise of shared, overlapping facts and have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of Plaintiff.

17. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504 and to its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

18. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be

5

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 6 of 7. PageID #: 6

compensated or measured in money. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of the copyrighted Motion Picture made in violation of Plaintiff's copyright.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against each Defendant and relief as follows:

1. For entry of permanent injunctions providing that each Defendant shall be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing Plaintiff's rights in the copyrighted Motion Picture, including without limitation by using the Internet to reproduce or copy Plaintiff's Motion Picture, to distribute Plaintiff's Motion Picture, or to make Plaintiff's Motion Picture available for distribution to the public, except pursuant to a lawful license or with the express authority of Plaintiff. Each Defendant also shall destroy all copies of Plaintiff's Motion Picture that Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard drive or server without Plaintiff's authorization and (subject to the Order of Impoundment prayed for below) shall serve up all copies of the downloaded Motion Picture transferred onto any physical medium or device in each Defendant's possession, custody or control.

2. For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants that they have: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in its copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501; and b) otherwise injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendants' acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint.

3. For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants for actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined at trial.

6

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1 Filed: 09/12/16 7 of 7. PageID #: 7

4. For an Order of Impoundment under 17 U.S.C. §§503 and 509(a) impounding all infringing copies of Plaintiff's Motion Picture which are in Defendants' possession or under their control.

5. For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants awarding Plaintiff attorneys' fees, litigation expenses (including fees and costs of expert witnesses) and other costs of this action.

6. For Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against Defendants, awarding Plaintiff such further declaratory and injunctive relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED: September 10, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

Timothy A. Shimko (0006736) Shimko Law Offices LLC 1010 Ohio Savings Plaza 1801 E. 9th St. Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Tel. (216) 241-8300 Fax (216) 539-2015 tas@shimkolaw.com

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/16 1 of 3. PageID #: 8

Certificate of Registration



This Certificate issued under the seal of the Copyright Office in accordance with title 17, *United States Code*, attests that registration has been made for the work identified below. The information on this certificate has been made a part of the Copyright Office records.

Maria A. ante

Register of Copyrights, United States of America

Registration Number PAu 3-751-312

> Effective date of registration: October 23, 2014

Title ———					
Title of Wor	k: CELL				
Completion/Publication Year of Completion					
Author Author	: CELL Film Holdings, LLC				
Author Created	: entire motion picture				
Work made for hire					
Citizen ol	: United States Domiciled in: United States				
Copyright claimant —					
Copyright Claimant	CELL Film Holdings, LLC				
	8383 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 310, Beverly Hills, CA, 90211				
Limitation of copyright claim					
New material included in claim	production as a motion picture				
Rights and Permissions					
	: CELL Film Holdings, LLC				
Name	: Michael Benaroya				
Address	8383 Wilshire Blvd				
	Suite 310				
	Beverly Hills, CA 90211 United States				
Certification ———					
Name	Michael Benaroya				
Date	October 20, 2014				



Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 09/12/16 3 of 3. PageID #: 10

 Registration #:
 PAU003751312

 Service Request #:
 1-1839921531



International Film Trust Alex Hundt 8383 Wilshire Blvd Suite 335 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 United States

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZ Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/12/16 1 of 1. PageID #: 11

SHA1: 41802F9EB8B6EEF8456BF6B58ACC8A4EBDD92EA8

FILM TITLE: CELL

RIGHT OWNER: CELL Film Holdings, LLC

No	IP	Port	Client	Hit Date UTC	ISP	Region	City	Province
1	72.241.104.11	43014	BitTorrent 7.9.7	2016-06-17 02:51:32	Buckeye Cablevision	Ohio	Toledo	Lucas
2	134.228.192.149	55078	DelugeTorrent 2.4.3	2016-06-11 16:32:53	Buckeye Cablevision	Ohio	Toledo	Lucas
3	134.228.196.109	11337	μTorrent 3.4.5	2016-06-11 09:52:54	Buckeye Cablevision	Ohio	Toledo	Lucas
4	72.241.246.97	28410	μTorrent 3.4.7	2016-06-10 20:53:17	Buckeye Cablevision	Ohio	Toledo	Lucas
5	72.241.4.148	34752	μTorrent 3.4.7	2016-06-10 18:43:58	Buckeye Cablevision	Ohio	Toledo	Lucas
6	74.5.25.1	56307	DelugeTorrent 2.4.3	2016-06-20 16:39:43	CenturyLink	Ohio	Butler	Richland
7	69.81.131.121	43611	μTorrent Mac 1.8.7	2016-06-23 02:44:45	EarthLink	Ohio	Uniontown	Summit
8	24.223.166.180	22932	μTorrent 3.1.3	2016-06-11 22:31:58	EarthLink	Ohio	Louisville	Stark
9	24.140.26.189	48440	μTorrent 3.4.7	2016-06-10 20:27:04	Massillon Cable Communications	Ohio	Wooster	Wayne
10	69.88.222.214	57002	μTorrent 3.4.7	2016-06-11 22:29:15	Watch TV	Ohio	Jenera	Hancock
11	23.28.150.172	32134	μTorrent 2.2.1	2016-06-20 00:38:26	WideOpenWest	Ohio	Cleveland	Cuyahoga
12	75.118.157.98	57210	μTorrent 3.4.6	2016-06-16 05:53:42	WideOpenWest	Ohio	North Olmsted	Cuyahoga
13	23.28.187.142	11285	BitComet 0.1.4	2016-06-14 21:00:04	WideOpenWest	Ohio	Brunswick	Medina

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

Case: 3:16-cv-02265-JZIVIE #: 1-3 Filed: 09/12/16 1 of 1. PageID #: 12

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	5	
CELL Film Holdings, LLC	·,		DOES 1- 13		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Lucas, OH</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Shimko Law Offices LLC Cleveland, Ohio 44114;	, 1010 Ohio Savings P	., Iaza, 1801 E. 9th St.	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		TF DEF J 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FODEFITUDE/DENALTW	Click here for: <u>Nature of Sup</u>	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 970duct Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	RTS PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 533 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Rights	Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 9970 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from \Box 3 te Court	Appellate Court	(specify	er District Litigation) Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 17 U.S.C. sec. 01 Brief description of ca	01 et seq.	filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta s motion picture "Cell" via		vork
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 09/10/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO s/ Timothy Shiml			
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE